Carbon footprinting and Life Cycle Assessment of agricultural and food products

Opportunities and Challenges

May 4th 2022, Roline Broekema







Content

- Carbon footprinting and Life Cycle Assessment
- LCA How companies tend to develop
- Tackling challenges through EC's Product Environmental Footprint
- Using PEF in national climate policy Netherlands



Carbon footprint:

Total amount of greenhouse gasses generated during the life cycle of a product or service.

Life Cycle Assessment (LCA):

The systematic analysis of the potential environmental impacts of products or services during their entire life cycle.





Important terms in Life Cycle Assessment:

- Functional unit e.g. kg or kg protein
- <u>System Boundaries</u> e.g.:



 <u>Allocation</u>: divide the impact between co-products - e.g. economic allocation/ mass allocation/ energy allocation



- Multiple environmental indicators \rightarrow prevent trade offs
- Hot-spot analysis \rightarrow target mitigation efforts



Bottlenecks and challenges:

- Can be complex and requires expertise
- Data availability and data quality are crucial
- Not all environmental indicators are covered sufficiently, e.g.:
 - Eco-toxicity, biodiversity, soil quality, marine resource depletion
- >Methodological choices to be made by the practitioner
- Hard to interpret by non-experts and communicate (to consumers)



LCA – How companies tend to develop



Product Environmental Footprint (PEF):

- Pilot phase initiated by the European Commission in 2013 with the aim to achieve environmental information on products that is:
 - 1) Reproducible
 - 2) Comparable
 - 3) Verifiable







Harmonization of LCA methodology under PEF: emission models, background data, allocation methods, system boundaries, functional unit, company specific data requirements, default data, data quality rating, reporting

- 21 PEFCRs developed in pilot phase, food:
 - dairy, olive oil, packed water, beer, wine, pasta, (feed)
- 5 PEFCRs developed in transition phase, food:
 - marine fish, (floriculture)



Drawbacks of PEF:

- 1)PEFCRs have not yet been developed for all product categories
- 2)PEFCRs are not consistent between one another no comparison between product categories
- 3) PEF does not directly lead to consumer communication/ labelling



- Identifying the environmental footprint of single products
- Comparisons between products within one product category
- Identifying mitigation options in the life cycle of single products
- Comparisons across product categories
- Consumer labelling across product categories
- Investigating issues/ policy development around sustainable diets and/or protein transition



Sustainable food choices should not lead to diets with less nutritional quality

It looks like PEF is going to be used in future EU policies, for:

- Substantiating Green Claims;

within Circular Economy Action Plan of EU's Green Deal

- Sustainable Food Labelling;

within Farm to Fork of EU's Green Deal

So, considering the drawbacks of PEF; how can we consider PEF in the context of national climate policy development?



Using PEF in national climate policy – Netherlands

1) Enable reduction of the environmental footprint of products, amongst others by providing a market conform benchmark.

2) Make it possible for consumers to make more sustainable choices, within and/or between product categories.

- ✓ We use PEF/ PEFCRs wherever available
- ✓ We provide an alternative when no PEFCRs are available
- \checkmark We align with European developments
- ✓ We involve customers for the development of good communication systems and business models



Using PEF in national climate policy – Netherlands



Using PEF in national climate policy

There are also interesting developments in other countries:

- France environmental food labelling for products in 2023 (link)
- UK reduce GHG emissions by 50% in 2030 (link)
- Italy supporting consumer decisions (<u>link</u>)



Thank you for listening!

Do you have any questions?

roline.broekema@wur.nl





Extra - List of data required – environmental perspective, for cultivation

Yield (also for co-products) (kg/ha)

Data collection for consumption mix of the country/ region of focus: e.g. soy from BR, AR and US for soy bean protein in NL.

- Fertilizer application (kg N, kg P and kg K per ha and types)
- Manure application (kg/ha, type and origin)
- Fuel use (I diesel/ha, m3 natural gas/ha and kWh electricity/ha)
- Water use for irrigation (m3/ha)
- Crop protection (kg per active ingredient per ha)
- Land transformation (from forest, grassland, permanent crop, to annual crop)
 WAGENINGEN

Extra - List of data required – environmental perspective, for processing

- Mass balance (raw material in & co-products out, in mass)
- Energy consumption (I diesel, m3 natural gas/ steam and kWh electricity)
- Water consumption (m3)
- Auxiliary material consumption (kg)
- Financial revenue per co-product (€ or ratio between co-products)
- Waste (type and mass)



Extra - List of data required – environmental perspective, for assembly of composite products

- Recipe (ingredients and amounts per ingredient, per kg product)
- Energy consumption (I diesel, m3 natural gas/ steam and kWh electricity)
- Water consumption (m3)
- Auxiliary material consumption (kg)
- Packaging materials (ingredient packaging, kg)
- Packaging materials (consumer packaging, kg)
- Waste (type and mass)



Life cycle stages like distribution, retail, consumer phase and end-of-life can be modelled based on scenarios (e.g. with PEF default data).